

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/712,988                      | 11/12/2003                              | Ljupco Kocarev       | 2110-85-3             | 7343             |  |
|                                 | 7590 01/25/2007<br>ACKSON HALEY LLP     |                      | EXAM                  | INÉR             |  |
| Suite. 350                      |   |                      | NGO, CH               | NGO, CHUONG D    |  |
| 155-108th Ave<br>Bellevue, WA 9 |   |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |  |
| Benevue, wir                    | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | •                    | 2193                  |                  |  |
|                                 |   |                      |                       |                  |  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR              | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE                    | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE         |                  |  |
| 3 MOI                           | NTHS                                    | 01/25/2007           | PAPER                 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |       |
|--|---|---|-------|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/712,988  | KOCAREV ET AL.  |       |
| Onice Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |       |
|  | Chuong D. Ngo   | 2193  |       |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence address   |       |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may divill apply and will expire SIX (6) Multer, cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133) | •     |
| Status   |   |   |       |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.   | April 2004.   |   |       |
|  | is action is non-final.   |   |       |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows   |   | tters, prosecution as to the merits   | is    |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   |   |   |       |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |       |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application   | n   | •   |       |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |   |   |       |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are allowed.  | avii iioiii oonolaatation.  |   |       |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |       |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |   |       |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or election requirement.  | •   |       |
| Application Papers   |   |   |       |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin   | er  |   |       |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/   |   | Objected to by the Examinor   |       |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |   |       |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |   |   | (d) · |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | xaminer. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.   | (u).  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |       |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 U.S.C.  | & 119(a)-(d) or (f)   |       |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   | 3 (2) (2) (.).  |       |
| 1 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documen   | its have been received.   |   |       |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen  |   | Application No.   |       |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price   |   |   |       |
| application from the International Burea   |   |   |       |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | t of the certified copies no  | t received.   |       |
|  |   |   |       |
|  |   | •   |       |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |       |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | Summary (PTO-413)   |       |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   |   | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application   |       |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/16/04</u> .   | 6) Other:   |   |       |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A  | Action Summary  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070   | 117   |
| Sillot P   | y Cammiary  | r art or r aper No./Mail Date 200/0   | 1.17  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, "said first interval", line 5, lacks a proper antecedent basis.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In particular, the recitation "generating the first pseudo-random value from a previously chaos-based pseudo-random value generated before the first chaos-based pseudo-random value" in claim 20 is not supported by the specification.

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2193

5. Claims 1-12 and 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-12 and 15-25 are directed to a computer implemented method of calculation. In order for a claimed invention that is directed to such a computer implemented method of calculation to be statutory, the claimed invention must accomplish a practical application. That is the claimed invention must transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing, or produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Also see "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", MPEP 2106 and OG Notices: 22 November 2005. It is clear from claims 1-12 and 15-25 that the claims merely involves calculations and manipulations of data in performing calculations. The claimed invention does not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The result of the invention is merely a sequence of numerical values without a practical application recited in the claims to make the result useful, concrete and tangible. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as the claims fails to assert a practical application to the invention.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2193

7. Claims 1-3,5-7 and 15-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Butler (6,678,707)

As per claims 1,15,16,18,19,21,26 and 27, Butler discloses in figure 8 a generation of a chaos-based pseudo-random sequence including defining a chaotic map (402-412) for generating a pseudo-random sequence of integer numbers in a certain interval, choosing a seed (the initial states) for the pseudo-random sequence of integer numbers, and generating numbers of the pseudo-rand sequence, defining a function (800) on the interval whose inverse has a plurality of branches and calculating numbers of a chaos-based pseudo-random sequence by applying the function to corresponding integer numbers of the of the pseudo-random sequence as claimed.

As per claims 2, 5,6,17,24 and 25Butler disclose in col. 8, lines 18-20, the function being also exclusive-or function as that of the present invention and thus the inverse of the function has a number of branches equal to the largest bound of the interval.

As per claims 3,7, 22 and 23 Butler discloses in figure 3 the chaotic map a truncated linear congruential generator.

- 8. Claims 13 and 14 are allowed.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2193

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chuong D Ngo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193

01/17/2007